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#### Abstract

Anthessius kimjensis n. sp. is described based on the specimens recovered from the pelecypod Solen grandis Dunker in the Korean coast of the Yellow Sea. The new species is distinguished from congeners by having a combination of characters: setal formula II, I, 5 on distal segment of leg 4 exopod, three terminal hooks on distal segment of second antenna, and ratio of length to width on caudal ramus (2.2:1).


## Introduction

In his revision, Stock et al. (1963) recognized 23 species of the genus Anthessius Della Valle, 1980. Since then, 16 more species have been added by Stock (1964), Humes \& Ho (1965), Humes \& Stock (1965), Reddiah (1966), Humes (1973, 1976), Ho (1983), Do \& Kajihara (1984), Avdeev \& Kazatchenko (1985), Suh \& Choi (1991), Ho \& Kim (1992), and López-González et al. (1992). However, four species of Anthessius (A. alatus Humes \& Stock, 1965; A. amicalis Humes \& Stock, 1965; A. discipedatus Humes, 1976; A. solidus Humes \& Stock, 1965) have been removed by Nair (1988) to his new genus, Tridachnophilus, based on the host affiliation of these species. Thus the genus Anthessius presently includes 35 known species.

This paper gives an illustrated description of the new species under the name Anthessius kimjensis n . sp. This is the sixth report of the genus Anthessius from the western North Pacific.

Anthessius kimjensis n. sp. (Figs 1-20)
Locality and material examined
The intertidal zone in Kimje, now designated the type-locality of the new species, is located at the Korean coast of the Yellow Sea ( $35^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $126^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ). Ten 우우 and $9 \delta^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$, collected from washing of 30 specimens of Solen grandis Dunker on 20 April, 1992, were examined for description. Holotype $\circ$ (USNM 257138), allotype $\sigma$ (USNM 257143) and paratypes 2 아, $3 \sigma^{\circ} \sigma^{\circ}$ (USNM 257144) were deposited in the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C. The remaining paratypes ( 5 ㅇ $ㅇ, 5 \sigma^{\circ} \sigma^{*}$ ) are retained in the author's collection.

## Female (Figs 1-15)

Body (Fig. 1) elongate. Cephalosome subtriangular, separated from the first pedigerous somite (Fig. 1). Prosome flat, about 1.8 times longer than urosome. Ratio of length to width of prosome

about 1.86:1. Urosome (Fig. 2) 5-segmented. Genital complex about 1.02 times wider than long. First two postgenital somites (Fig. 2) each wider than long, with short membranes and four spinules on its posteroventral margins. Anal somite (Fig. 2) about 1.14 times longer than wide, with 10 spinules on ventromedian region. Caudal ramus about 2.17 times longer than wide, with 6 setae.

Dimensions (based on 5 specimens): Total length exclusive of caudal setae 1.64 (1.54$1.78) \mathrm{mm}$; prosome length $0.99(0.90-1.05) \mathrm{mm}$, width 0.53 ( $0.49-0.58$ ) mm ; genital complex length 147 (130-155) $\mu \mathrm{m}$, width 150 (145160) $\mu \mathrm{m}$; anal somite length $110(100-118) ~ \mu \mathrm{~m}$, width 96 (95-100) $\mu \mathrm{m}$; caudal ramus length 90 (80-100) $\mu \mathrm{m}$, width $41(40-45) \mu \mathrm{m}$.

First antenna (Fig. 3) 7 -segmented, with setal formula; $3,16,4,4,4+1$ aesthete, $1+1$ aesthete, and $7+1$ aesthete. Second antenna (Fig. 4) 3 -segmented. First segment with one strong seta. Second segment with one inner seta and one setule. Terminal segment with 3 strong hooks, 3 long setae, and 5 inner setae (two of them long).

Labrum (Fig. 5) broad, with a central incision on posterior margin and clumps of spinules on anterolateral margins. Mandible (Fig. 6) with short dentate lamella between apical lash and long setiform element. First maxilla (Fig. 7) bilobed distally. Inner lobe with one short element. Outer lobe with 2 long and 2 short setae. Distal segment of second maxilla (Fig. 8) with 6 long and 2 short teeth on lateral margin and one spine on posterior surface. Maxilliped (Fig. 9) indistinctly 3-segmented. Third segment with 2 small terminal setules.

Legs 1-4 (Figs 11-14) with setal formula as follow:

Leg 1: Prp 0-1; 1-0 Exp I-0; I-1; III, I, 4 Enp 0-1; 0-1; I, 5

Leg 2: $\operatorname{Prp} 0-1 ; 1-0 \operatorname{Exp} \mathrm{I}-0 ; \mathrm{I}-1 ;$ III, I, 5
Enp 0-1; 0-2; III, 3
Leg 3: $\operatorname{Prp} 0-1 ; 1-0 \operatorname{Exp} \mathrm{I}-0 ; \mathrm{I}-1 ;$ III, I, 5
Enp 0-1; 0-2; IV, 2
$\operatorname{Lcg}$ 4: $\operatorname{Prp} 0-1 ; 1-0 \operatorname{Exp} \mathrm{I}-0 ; \mathrm{I}-1 ;$ II, I, 5
Enp 0-1; 0-2; IV, 1
Leg 5 (Fig. 15) about 1.83 times longer than wide, measuring 85 (70-100) $\mu \mathrm{m} \times 47$ (40-62) $\mu \mathrm{m}$, with row of spines on each outer and inner margin, and one seta and 3 spines. Leg 6 (Fig. 10) with 2 setae in genital area.

## Male (Figs 16-20)

Body about 1.17 times larger than that in female (Fig. 16). Prosome 1.65 times longer than wide. Urosome 6-segmented. Genital somite about 1.06 times longer than wide. Anal somite slightly longer than wide (1.01:1). Caudal ramus 2.73 times longer than wide.

Dimensions (based on 9 specimens): Total length exclusive of caudal setae 1.92 (1.73$1.98) \mathrm{mm}$; prosome length $1.09(1.05-1.10) \mathrm{mm}$, width 0.66 ( $0.60-0.70$ ) mm ; genital complex length $212(190-220) \mu \mathrm{m}$, width 224 (215240) $\mu \mathrm{m}$; anal somite length $94(80-110) \mu \mathrm{m}$, width $93(90-100) \mu \mathrm{m}$; caudal ramus length 113 (110-125) $\mu \mathrm{m}$, width 41 (40-45) $\mu \mathrm{m}$.

First antenna (Fig. 17) 7 -segmented, with setal formula; $3,13+3$ aesthetes, $4,3+1$ aesthete, $4+1$ aesthete, $1+1$ aesthete, and $7+1$ aesthete. Second antenna (Fig. 18) with one enlarged seta on distal margin of basal segment and about 4 more spinules on outer margin of terminal segment than that of female.

Labrum, mandible, first maxilla and second maxilla as in female. Maxilliped (Fig. 19) highly dimorphic, 3 -segmented including claw. First segment with distolateral clump of spinules. Second segment tapered apically, with 2 equal setae and 3 patches of spinules on median region. Third

Figs 1-10. Anthessius kimjensis n. sp. Female. 1, habitus, dorsal; 2, urosome, ventral; 3, first antenna; 4, second antenna; 5, labrum; 6 , mandible; 7, first maxilla; 8 , second maxilla; 9 , maxilliped; 10 , leg 6 . Units of scales: $1=0.5 \mathrm{~mm} ; 2=0.3 \mathrm{~mm} ; 3-5,9$, $10=0.1 \mathrm{~mm} ; 6-8=0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$.



Figs 16-20. Anthessius kimjensis n. sp. Male. 16, habitus, dorsal; 17, first antenna; 18, second antenna; 19, maxilliped; 20, leg 5. Units of scales: $16=0.5 \mathrm{~mm} ; 17-20=0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Figs 11-15. Anthessius kimjensis n. sp. Female. 11, leg 1; 12, leg 2; 13, leg 3; 14, leg 4; 15, leg 5. Units of scales: $11-15=0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
segment shortest. Claw proximally with one long naked seta and one setule. Claw arched, longer than second segment.

Legs 1-4 as those of female. Leg 5 (Fig. 20) with marginal spinules, about 2.21 times longer than wide.

## Etymology

The specific name alludes to the locality of discovery, Kimje, Korea.

## Remarks

To determine the identity of the present species, it is necessary to carry out comparisons with all species of Anthessius that have the formula of the third segment of leg 4 exopod, II, I, 5. There are 14 species in this category. Twelve species of $A n$ thessius are clearly distinguishable from this species by having four distal claws of second antenna. They are: A. dolabellae Humes \& Ho, 1965, A. graciliunguis Do \& Kajihara, 1984, A. investigatoris Sewell, 1949, A. leptostylis (Sars, 1916), A. longipedis Ho \& Kim, 1992, A. lophiomi Avdeev \& Kazatchenko, 1985, A. navanacis (Wilson, 1935), A. nortoni Illg, 1960, A. proximus Stock et al., 1963, A. saecularis Stock, 1963, A. sensitivus Stock et al., 1963, and A. varideus Stock et al., 1963. Moreover, Anthessius pinnae Humes, 1958 is also strikingly different from $A$. kimjensis n . sp . It has two claws in distal margin of second antenna.

The remaining two species, Anthessius atrinae Suh \& Choi, 1991 and A. dilatatus (Sars, 1918), are close to this species due to their possession of three claws of second antenna. However, Anthessius atrinae can be distinguished from the present species by longer caudal ramus (ratio of length to width $=5.6: 1$, compared with $2.2: 1$ of $A$. kimjensis $\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{sp}$.) and the shapes of leg 5 (ratio of length to width $=1.6: 1$ ) and first antenna. Moreover, it is much larger than A. kimjensis n. sp., the total length of its female being 2.53 mm . On the other hand, Anthessius dilatatus has not been adequately
described since their discovery. But this Norwegian species is smaller than the present species ( 1.40 mm in total length), and has a longer caudal ramus (4:1) and slender leg 5 (3.4:1). Adding to them the differences in the identity of the host and geographic locality, these three copepods cannot be considered conspecific. Consequently, it should be accorded the status of an independent species.

It is noted that female of Anthessius generally is larger than male. The three exceptions are $A$. fitchi Illg, 1960, A.pinctadae Humes, 1973, and A. kimjensis n. sp., which have smaller female with the ratios of female to male of $0.97: 1,0.71: 1$, and $0.85: 1$, respectively.

Of these 35 known species in the genus $A n$ thessius, five species of Anthessius are currently known from the western North Pacific. They comprise A. atrinae Suh \& Choi, 1991, from the pelecypod Atrina pectinata (Linné), A. graciliunguis Do \& Kajihara, 1984, from the pelecypod Mytilus galloprovincialis Lamarck, A. longipedis Ho \& Kim, 1992, from the gastropod Thais bronni (Dunker), A. lophiomi Avdeev \& Kazatchenko, 1985, from tishes, and $A$. pectinis Tanaka, 1961, from the pelecypod Pecten laqueatus Sowerby.

The two reports of Anthessius species from Korea waters were made by Suh \& Choi (1991) and Ho \& Kim (1992). The present report deals with the third species of Korean Anthessius. In addition, A. kimjensis n . sp . is the third copepod associated with the pelecypod Solen grandis Dunker in Korea. The two others comprise Leptinogaster digita Kim \& Ho, 1991 and Herrmannella soleni Kim \& Ho, 1991.
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